

## Comparative study and different between IEEE 802.11ac and

### IEEE 802.11ad

F. Samah Abd-albasit , Dr. M. Amin Babiker

Faculty of Telecommunication and Space Technology Engineering, Neelin Uni. , Khatroum, Sudan

Corresponding author E-mail: samahbaset16@gmail.com , amin.31766@hotmail.com

**ABSTRACT** — Wi-fi technique has become the most commonly used today; due to its ease in use and for its mobility and other features, but with the great development in the field of mobile Web communication has become the biggest challenges such as The advent of bandwidth hungry wireless applications. In this paper we discuss and compare two of the most recent currently used wireless LAN systems 802.11ac & 802.11ad and show some challenges.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

IEEE 802.11 is a couple of media access control (MAC) and physical layer (PHY) features for putting into action wi-fi mobile geographic area network (WLAN) computer communication in the 900 MHz , 2.4, 3.6th, 5, and 60 Gigahertz frequency bands

They are really created and looked after by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

IEEE LAN/MAN Specifications Committee (IEEE 802). The fundamental version of the standard was launched in 1997, and has experienced subsequent amendments. The typical and modification provide you with the basis for cordless networking products using the Wi-Fi brand.

While each amendment is officially suspended when it is designed in the latest version of the standard, the corporate

world tends to market the updates because they concisely represent functions of their products. Because of this, available for sale place, each revision tends to become its standard.[1] The organization is in charge of developing every major Wi-Fi standard, including 802.11b, g, a, n and ac.

802.11ac would be powered by Sub-6 GHz frequencies. It is expected to operate generally in the 5 GHz spectrum and perhaps in the 2.4 GHz spectrum as well. Both of these frequency ranges already are normal with the older specifications and they participate in unlicensed frequency range, almost throughout the global world.

802.11ac is expected to have reverse compatibility with 802.11n/a, as these technologies, as these technologies can operate in the 5GHz spectrum. Additionally 802.11ac is expected to support higher channel bandwidth of 80 Megahertz / 160 MHz (Optional) to give higher throughput, in addition to assisting 20 MHz, 40 Megahertz, and so forth employed by the earlier standards. [2] 802.11ac is a few years old, but it includes several important features that are not available at launch.

One particular such feature is MU-MIMO (multi-user, multiple-input, and multiple-output), which we composed an attribute on in-may 2014. MU-MIMO is powered by multi-user beam forming technology that let us wireless gain access to

we wrote an attribute on in-may 2014. MU-MIMO is run by tips send data channels to at least three users mutually. time 'but turn between them extremely fast so that users don't.

Without MU-MIMO, routers stream to just one single device at the same time but change between them extremely fast so that users don't notice a slowdown except when plenty of devices are on the network.[3]

802.11ad, also known as WiGig 1.0, is a suggested specs in the 802.11 family appropriate to WLANs (cordless local area systems). 802.11ad presents an expansion or upgrade of the existing 802.11a standard. Sites using 802.11ad will operate in the 60-GHz (gigahertz) music group using OFDM (orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing). The improvements recognized by 802.11ad will help simultaneous loading of HD (hi-def) training video to multiple clients in large office surroundings, as well as faster wireless synchronization and back up of large files.

## II. METHODOLOGY

In his section will clarify some of the points that explain the difference between IEEE802.11ac and IEEE802.11ad

is an amendment to the IEEE 802.

11 for VHT (Very High Throughput) operation in frequency bands below 6 GHz, exclude 4GHz, like unlicensed bands at 5 Gigahertz band . majority of 802.11 a/b/g/n devices are currently operating at 2.4 GHz overcrowding the channels causing bandwidth crunch and higher sign interference. The 5 GHz group is relatively cleaner with lower signal interference

The quantity of non-overlapping channels (of 20 MHz each) available at 5 GHz band is much larger as compared to a few non-overlapping programs at 2.4 Gigahertz, thus enabling channel bonding of two or more channels .while 802.11ad can be an amendment to 802.11 for improvements for multi-gigabit throughput in 60 GHz band . With this band, 7 GHz of range typically is designed for unlicensed utilization in comparison to 83.5

MHz in 2.4 GHz band This standard identifies 4 stations, each with 2.16 GHz band width, for procedure at 60 GHz band. These channels are 54 times wider than the 40 MHz bonded stations available in 802.11n.

**Speed** With any new cellular technology speed is often the headline-grabbing feature but, much like every cordless standard currently, the characters tossed around can be highly deceptive. 1.3 gigabits per second (Gbps) is the acceleration mostly cited as the 802.11ac standard

This means 166 megabytes per second (MBps) or 1331 megabits per second (Mbps). It really is significantly quicker than the 450M little bit per second (0.45Gbps) headline speeds quoted on the highest performing 802.11n routers. That's roughly 20 times more powerful than 802.11n, well IEEE802.11ad is it achieve speeds that are 50 times faster than WiFi n.[5]

**Compatibility** IEEE 802.11ac is backward appropriate for 802.11n at 5 GHz making sure the interoperability of 802.11ac and the already deployed 802.11n devices, but 802.11ad is not backward appropriate for 802.11n.

but ensure that is entirely new standard advanced to serve extremely high data rate and to provide short range wireless connectivity.

**Range** 802.11 ac runs to about 80 m with 3 antennas while 802.11 advertisement permits more than about 10 meters with beamforming.

**Data Rate** 802.11ac uses 1.3Gbps while 802.11 ad uses 7Gbps, i.e. 802.11ad is faster 7x than 802.11ac.

**channel bandwidth** IEEE 802.11ac underpin 40 MHz, 80 MHz, and 160 MHz channel bandwidth in comparison to only 20 MHz and 40 MHz Underpin by 802.11n.

The 160 MHz channel bandwidth comprises two 80 MHz stations which could or might not exactly be contiguous. The 80 MHz and 40 MHz programs are composed of two contiguous 40 MHz and 20 MHz stations, respectively. The underpin of 40 MHz and 80 MHz channel bandwidth is necessary while underpin of 160 MHz and 80+80 MHz is optional. These wide channel bandwidths and reduced co-channel multitude of APs deployed on non-overlapping programs. The 802.11ac provides more spectrum and channel bandwidth by depend on DFS (Dynamic Consistency Selection), programs, which many Wi-Fi devices do not support today. LIKE 802.11ac, 802.11ad INCREASES AFTER THE CELLULAR FEATURES RELEASED IN 802.11n. 802.11ad USES VARIETY IN THE UNLICENSED 60GHZ STRAP, WHERE A LOT MORE OVERALL BANDWIDTH CAN BE FOUND THAN IN EITHER THE TWO 2.4 OR 5GHZ RINGS CURRENTLY EMPLOYED IN 802.11 THE CELLULAR GIGABIT ALLIANCE (WIGIG) INITIATED THE SPECS DEVELOPMENT TO HAVE GOOD THING ABOUT THIS RANGE, BUT THEIR WORK HAS BEEN ROLLED IN TO THE IEEE 802.11ad DRAFT SPECS. ULTIMATELY, 802.11ad ALLOWS

DEVICES TO CONVERSE OVER FOUR, 2.16GHZ-WIDE STATIONS, PROVIDING DATA RATES AS HIGH AS 7 GIGABITS PER SECOND, EVEN FOR CELLULAR DEVICES WITH LIMITED ELECTRICITY, A SUBSTANTIAL IMPROVEMENT OVER BOTH 11n AND 11ac.[6]

### **Modulation and coding design :**

A correspond to 802.11ac the PHY data sub-carriers are modulated using BPSK (Binary Phase Shift Keying), QPSK (Quadrature Phase Shift Keying), 16-QAM (Quadrature Amplitude Modulation), 64-QAM, and 256-QAM. Remember that 256-QAM is not supported by 802.11n. FEC (Forward Error Correction) coding is used with coding rates of 1/2, 2/3, 3/4, and 5/6. USING BCC (BINARY CONVOLUTIONAL CODING) IS NECESSARY , BUT LDPC (LOW-DENSITY PARITY-CHECK CODING) IS OPTIONAL. WHILE 802. 11AD DEFINES BOTH SC (SOLE CARRIER) MODULATION AND OFDM (ORTHOGONAL CONSISTENCY DEPARTMENT MULTIPLEXING) MODULATION. OFDM enables longer distance communication and greater delay propagates. This provides overall flexibility in handling obstacles and shown signals.

OFDM allows SQPSK, QPSK, 16-QAM, and 64- QAM modulation with theutmost attainable PHY data rate of 6. 756 Gbps.

### **Hardware Complexity and Power Consumption**

Usage 802. 11ad systems need a simpler hardware in comparison to 802. 11ac, credited to simpler modulation plans and use of only 1 blast of information (SISO vs. MIMO). To acquire multiple independent data streams in 802. 11ac, multiple RF and baseband chains are required.

In practice, for better radio link performance, the quantity of RX and TEXAS chains may be much larger than the amount of desired fields, NS (i. e., quantity of independent and individually encoded transmit signals or streams). This means more than NS times increase in power and RF chip/device area. Even if brilliant power management is used on TX, MIMO RX system may consume at least NS times more electric power compared to a single again chain RX. Furthermore, the processing power instructed to form MIMO streams must be added to the total power budget.

**Cost** CMOS technology can be used for fabrication of Wi-Fi transceivers. 2. 4/5 GHz strap Wi-Fi transceivers can be synthesized with more regular CMOS technologies, which are cheaper whereas 60 Gigahertz Wi-Fi transceivers can only be synthesized with the state-of-the-art CMOS technology (65nm, 40 nm, and so forth. ) Currently, 40 nm CMOS technology is expensive, thus making 802.11ad transceivers costly compared to 802. 11ac transceivers.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table.1 show the comparison between IEEE 802.11ac and IEEE802.11ad

Type of comparison	IEEE802.11ac	IEEE802.11ad	Note
Release date	Dec 2013	Dec 2013	-
Frequency	5 GHZ	60 GHZ	few applications in the 60 GHZ available
Bandwidth	20-40-80-160	2,160	each channel in 802.11ad

			might be as much as 50 times more that what was available with 802.11n. This enables higher speeds and throughput.
<i>Modulation and coding design</i>	<a href="#">MIMO-OFDM</a>	64-QAM	60 Ghz Carrier Provides 10 Times More Cycles To Modulate Than A 6 Ghz Carrier
Approximate range	Indoor 35	Indoor 60 Out door 100	-
Data Rate	1.3Gpbs	7Gpbs	802.11ad is Very High Throughput Than 802.11ac.
Distance	About 80 M With 3 Antenna	More than about 10 meters with beam forming enabled.	802.11 Ad Very High Throughput At Short Distance.

<i>Hardware Complexity</i>	Complex hardware	simpler hardware	due to simpler modulation schemes and use of only one stream of data in 802.11ac schemes and use of only one stream of data in 802.11ac
cost	cheaper	expensive	802.11ad synchronization by CMOS technology
<i>Power consumed</i>	High power consumed	low power consumed	the processing power required to form MIMO streams must be added to the total power budget.
compatibility	is backward appropriate for 802.11n	Its not backward appropriate for	it,s entirely new standard advanced to serve

		802.11n	extremely high data rate and to provide short range wireless connectivity.
--	--	---------	--

Table.2

Modulation	Code Rate	Receiver sensitivity (Bdm)				EVM (dB)
		20MHZ 2.16GHZ	40MHZ	80MHZ	160MHZ	
BPSK	1/2	-82	-79	-76	-73	-5
QPSK	3/4	-	-	-	-	-13
16-QAM	3/4	-77	-74	-71	-68	-19
64-QAM	5/6	-	-	-	-	-27
256-QA	5/6	-70	-67	-64	-61	-32
$\pi/2$ -BPSK	1/2	-	-	-	-	-6
$\pi/2$ -BPSK	3/4	-64	-61	-60	-57	-10
$\pi/2$ -QPSK	3/4	-	-	-	-	-13
$\pi/2$ .16-QAM	3/4	-57	-54	-51	-48	-21
64-QAM	13/14	-	-	-	-	-26
		-78	-	-	-	
		-64	-	-	-	
		-59	-	-	-	
		-53	-	-	-	
		-47	-	-	-	

Table.2 Transmitter EVM and receiver sensitivity for a few modulation and code rates used in 802.11n, 802.11ac, and 802.11ad.

The IEEE 802.11ac and IEEE 802.11ad technical specs both promise to provide increased capacity, velocity, and performance in several ways, allowing users on-the-go to enjoy their highest-data-rate applications even. The main difference is that 802.11ad will operate in the 60 GHz band and IEEE 802.11ac operation in the 5GHz.

You will find few applications in the 60 GHz band mainly because the signal loss is so high in accordance with 2.4GHz and 5GHz. Higher loss results in a much shorter transmission range. Therefore, 802.11ad is appropriate for line-of-sight, room-scale, low-cost, short-range high throughput applications. While IEEE 802.11ac is proper for longer-range high throughput applications, IEEE 802.11ad leveraging small device form factor and low electric power use characteristics is apt for lightweight power-constraint multi-gigabit mobile devices. While 802.11ac appears to be appropriate for longer range applications, the transmitter power regulatory and power usage requirements limit the applicability to the different use situations. As observed in a table 1, IEEE 802.11ac requires -48 dBm receive level of sensitivity with 256-QAM modulation or more to 8 spatial channels to accomplish multi-gigabit Wi-Fi.

To deploy the best data rates of 802.11ac AC-powered models are more suitable. Because the obstruction reduction at 5 GHz is leaner compared to 60 GHz. Over a different be aware at 60 GHz high gain antennas with low priced and small size can be

recognized for indicate point applications such as small-cell backhaul systems.

Despite lower propagation reduction at 5 GHz group, strict regulatory requirements limit the transmit electric power proportional to the transmitter antenna gain. Range extension required thus for backhaul systems can't be achieved at 5 GHz. Table.2 shows the receiver sensitivity and required transmitter EVM (Error Vector Magnitude) values for a few key MCSs of 802.11n, 802.11ac, and 802.11ad. An increased receive sensitivity means SNR (Signal-to-noise ratio) is required for detection. Less EVM means that system errors like local oscillator phase noises, transmitter nonlinearities, etc. must be controlled more precisely. 4.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Despite the advantages that characterize each of the IEEE 802.11ad and IEEE 802.11ac we find that IEEE 802.11ad is more appropriate for line of-sight room-scale, low-cost, short-range very high throughput applications, IEEE 802.11ac is proper for longer-range high throughput applications.

#### References

- [1] [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/IEEE\\_802.11#802.11ad](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/IEEE_802.11#802.11ad)
- [2] <http://www.excitingip.com/2594/ieee-802-11ac-ieee-802-11ad-wireless-technologies-think-beyond-802-11n/>
- [3] <http://arstechnica.com/information-technology/2016/06/wi-fi-gets-multi-gigabit-multi-user-boost-with-upgrades-to-802-11ac/>

[4] <http://whatis.techtarget.com/definition/80211ad>

[5] <http://www.trustedreviews.com/opinions/802-11ac-vs-802-11n-what-s-the-difference>

[6] [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/IEEE\\_802.11\\_a\\_b\\_c](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/IEEE_802.11_a_b_c) "Official IEEE 802.11 Working Group Project Timelines".